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ABSTRACT
This work presents quantitative information comparing E.coli leaching losses from slurry spreading and from grazing animals. Sheep grazing on drained plots for 70 days in late autumn 2001 (16 lambs per ha) gave drainage water with 100 - 1000 cfu E.coli per ml. Drainage water during May-July 2002 from sheep grazed plots (16 sheep+lambs per ha) gave average E.coli concentrations which were lower than observed in autumn (11 cfu/ml); this may be because of lower shedding rates by some of the animals used. Plots treated with slurry (36 m\(^3\)/ha on May 29\(^{th}\) 2002) had lower average E. coli counts (5 cfu/ml) despite the initial E. coli input being five times higher than the input from the grazing sheep over the whole grazing period. In catchment studies on the Cessnock Water, a predominantly dairy catchment in Ayrshire, E. coli and total coliform concentrations during a storm event were clearly linked to grazing animals, as little slurry spreading occurred in the previous month, due to wet weather. For a 7 mm rainfall event, roughly 20% of the estimated daily input from grazing livestock was transported to the river. Spot sampling of field drains in grazed fields and silage fields confirmed that grazing animals were the principal source of E. coli and faecal streptococci. Laboratory runoff studies showed that E. coli are more readily transported from fresh wastes than from aged material.