Metabolically healthy obesity across the life course: epidemiology, determinants, and implications
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In recent years, different subphenotypes of obesity have been described, including metabolically healthy obesity (MHO), in which a proportion of obese individuals, despite excess body fat, remain free of metabolic abnormalities and increased cardiometabolic risk. In the absence of a universally accepted set of criteria to classify MHO, the reported prevalence estimates vary widely. Our understanding of the determinants and stability of MHO over time and the associated cardiometabolic and mortality risks is improving, but many questions remain. For example, whether MHO is truly benign is debatable, and whether risk stratification of obese individuals on the basis of their metabolic health status may offer new opportunities for more personalized approaches in diagnosis, intervention, and treatment of diabetes remains speculative. Furthermore, as most of the research to date has focused on MHO in adults, little is known about childhood MHO. In this review, we focus on the epidemiology, determinants, stability, and health implications of MHO across the life course.
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Introduction

Obesity has become a worldwide epidemic and a major public health challenge. Over the last four decades, there has been a global shift from a time when underweight was twice as prevalent as obesity to one in which the numbers of obese individuals now surpass those who are underweight, both globally and in all regions, with the exceptions of areas of Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. Examination of body mass index (BMI) trends from 1698 population-based data sources involving more than 19.2 million adults (9.9 million men and 9.3 million women) revealed that, in 2014, the prevalence of obesity exceeded underweight in both men and women in 68% and 83% of the countries for whom estimates were available. Recent estimates indicate that about 266 million men and 375 million women are obese worldwide. Future projections predict that over one billion people, or approximately 20% of the world’s entire adult population, will be obese by 2030. Not only is the prevalence of adult obesity increasing, but childhood obesity is also on the rise. Approximately one-fourth of children worldwide are overweight or obese. Although there are indications that the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children is plateauing in some populations, current levels remain high. This is particularly concerning, as it has been shown that childhood obesity tracks to adulthood and is associated with increased risk of cardiometabolic disease and premature mortality. The current obesity epidemic is paralleled by escalating prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and metabolic syndrome (MetS), a constellation of metabolic perturbations including obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. However, over recent years, it has become increasingly recognized that obesity is not a homogeneous condition and that a subset of obese individuals does not display disturbed metabolic profiles or increased risk of cardiometabolic disease. As such, these individuals, who are characterized by
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preserved insulin sensitivity and normal blood pressure and lipid profiles despite their adiposity, may be described as being metabolically healthy obese (MHO). Similarly, there exist normal-weight individuals who display abnormal metabolic profiles and carry increased cardiometabolic risk. Thus, a spectrum of metabolic health phenotypes according to BMI or body composition exists, ranging from metabolically healthy normal weight to metabolically unhealthy obese (MUO), along which MHO is an intermediate stage. Research on obesity phenotypes has mainly focused on MHO among adults to date, with only a paucity of information available on childhood MHO. Therefore, in this review, we focus on the epidemiology, determinants, stability, and health implications of MHO over the life course from childhood into adulthood.

**MHO in childhood and adolescence**

The currently increasing prevalence of a range of adult chronic noncommunicable diseases, including obesity, cannot be explained solely by genetic or adult lifestyle factors. Evidence from epidemiological studies suggests that the origins of many chronic diseases, including obesity, MetS, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and T2DM, actually begin in early life and childhood insulin resistance and adiposity predispose to diabetes, MetS, and CVD in adulthood. Increasing evidence also suggests that early-life exposure to a range of environmental factors, including nutrition, for example, plays a critical role in defining offspring health, both in childhood and in later life. According to the developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis, transient environmental exposures during critical periods of development (such as the preconceptional, fetal, and early infant phases of life) can alter normal physiology and have a persistent impact on metabolism and gene expression, thereby influencing offspring phenotype and disease risk in later life. For example, growth velocity *in utero* and in early life, which are read-outs of early nutritional status, may affect cardiovascular and cancer risk. Stefan et al. recently reviewed the literature on height (a genetically determined phenotype that is influenced by maternal and early-life exposures) and cardiometabolic disease and cancer risk later in life. Accumulating data suggest divergent associations, such that height is associated with lower cardiometabolic risk and higher cancer risk. The authors speculate that overnutrition, particularly of milk and dairy products, during child development may play a role. Thus, avoiding such overnutrition during critical developmental periods may attenuate accelerated growth and development of obesity in children, leading to lower cancer risk in later life. Therefore, investigating metabolic health and adiposity in childhood may have implications in relation to preventative strategies for adverse metabolic health phenotypes in early life that may have long-term impacts.

As is the case for adults, no standard metabolic health definition exists for use in children. Table 1 details some currently used criteria to define MHO.

**Table 1. Definitions of metabolic health status among children and adolescents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood pressure*</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>HDL-C</th>
<th>LDL-C</th>
<th>FPG</th>
<th>HOMA</th>
<th>Waist</th>
<th>BMI percentile</th>
<th>MHO criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥90th percentile</td>
<td>≥1.130 mmHg</td>
<td>≥1.24 mmol/L</td>
<td>≥1.25 mmol/L</td>
<td>≥1.02 mmol/L</td>
<td>&gt;3.16</td>
<td>&gt;95th percentile</td>
<td>≥190th percentile</td>
<td>≤1.25 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥90th percentile</td>
<td>≥1.04 mmol/L</td>
<td>≥1.04 mmol/L</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>≤3.16</td>
<td>≥95th percentile</td>
<td>≤1.25 mmol/L</td>
<td>≤1.04 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;90th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>≤3.16</td>
<td>&gt;95th percentile</td>
<td>≤1.25 mmol/L</td>
<td>≤1.04 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>≤3.16</td>
<td>&gt;95th percentile</td>
<td>≤1.25 mmol/L</td>
<td>≤1.04 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>&gt;75th percentile</td>
<td>≤3.16</td>
<td>&gt;95th percentile</td>
<td>≤1.25 mmol/L</td>
<td>≤1.04 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MH, metabolic health; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TAG, triglyceride.

*Blood pressure percentiles based on age, sex, and height.*
Among children and adolescents. While metabolic health may be simply defined as the absence of insulin resistance, in the majority of studies MHO characterization centers on the absence of MetS (or some of its cardiometabolic risk factors) among those with excess body weight. It is important to note that how obesity is classified is also an issue, with different anthropometric measures and cutoffs being used. The Bogalusa Heart Study defined overweight/obesity as a BMI in the top quartile, the cross-sectional study by Prince et al. defined obesity as a BMI greater than or equal to the 85th percentile, the studies by Vukovic et al. and Camhi et al. defined obesity as a BMI greater than or equal to the 95th percentile, and Zamrazilova et al. used a BMI greater than or equal to the 97th percentile to classify obesity. The Korean Children–Adolescent Study was based on abdominal obesity assessed by waist circumference (WC).

Such variation in classification of both obesity and metabolic health undoubtedly hinders comparisons between studies and contributes to the observed disparity in MHO prevalence in these studies (which were 4.2%, 21.5%, 21.7%, 25%, 53%, and 68%).

The determinants of, and molecular mechanisms underlying, MHO among children are understudied and, as a result, poorly understood. Collectively, the data indicate that MHO children are more likely to be younger (and in earlier stages of puberty) and female, of high birth weight with less visceral fat, exhibiting preserved insulin sensitivity, high adiponectin concentrations, altered ghrelin levels, more favorable lipid profiles, reduced concentrations of transaminases and uric acid, and without hepatic steatosis. Although early weight gain was originally identified as a determinant of childhood MHO, a larger study of Danish men for whom childhood BMI was available failed to find robust evidence to support a role for either rapid BMI growth or early-onset obesity in the development of MHO. Aside from laboratory and clinically based predictors, limited data regarding the roles of environmental, lifestyle, behavioral, or genetic factors in determining MHO status among children exist. Prince et al. examined lifestyle predictors of MHO among 8- to 17-year-olds attending a weight-management clinic. They identified dietary fat intake and moderate physical activity as independent predictors. In contrast Camhi et al. reported that physical activity, but not sitting or screen time, differs between MHO and MUO in adults but not in adolescents. In further work, these authors also demonstrated that MHO adolescents have better compliance with dietary guidelines compared with their MUO counterparts. A study of 1213 Chinese children aged 6–18 reported that walking to school and frequency of soft drink consumption, together with other demographic factors, contribute to the prediction of MHO status. Interestingly, this study also examined 22 genetic variants previously identified from genome-wide association studies of obesity and diabetes. They found that both genetic predisposition and lifestyle factors and their interaction are independent predictors of MHO. More recently, a study of teenage boys (13–17.9 years) investigated a range of potential determinants of MHO, including duration of exposure to obesity, dietary intake, and lifestyle factors. With the exception of carbohydrate intake, no other associations with MHO were identified for dietary or lifestyle factors. However, a clear relationship between early onset of obesity and longer duration of its exposure with MUO was demonstrated. Collectively, these data suggest potential intervention windows and targets to improve cardiometabolic profiles in pediatric obesity with a view to achieving and maintaining better long-term cardiometabolic health.

MHO in adulthood

The prevalence of MHO among adults varies greatly between studies. Although study-specific differences, such as age, ethnicity, sample size, or environmental factors and genetics, may be contributing factors, the lack of a universal definition of metabolic health and differences in obesity classification (BMI vs. body fat percentage (BF%)) account for a large proportion of the reported disparity. Table 2 details some currently used criteria to define MHO among adults. At the very least, metabolic health may be defined as the absence of insulin resistance, but as is the case in children, current characterization of MHO in adults is predominantly based on the absence of MetS (or some of its components) among those with excess body weight, generally defined by BMI. Some definitions additionally include favorable inflammatory status determined by C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. Although limited, comparative studies examining MHO prevalence across a range of currently
used criteria have reported considerable variation in MHO prevalence and poor agreement between MHO definitions. In an Irish cross-sectional population–representative sample of adults aged 50–69, among the obese (by BMI) participants MHO prevalence ranged from 6.8% to 36.6%. MHO prevalence was generally higher among females, depending on which definitions were used. In a Korean study of 186 obese (by BMI) male subjects, Yoo et al. reported MHO prevalence ranging from 24.2% to 70.4%. This study did not observe any age-related differences, which may be accounted for by the narrow and younger age range of the male-only study participants (mean age 37 years). In a Swiss population–based sample of adults aged 35–75 years, MHO prevalence ranged from 3.3% to 32.1% in men and 11.4% to 43.3% in women, with higher estimates reported when obesity was defined by BF%. The latter finding highlights the importance of how obesity is classified. Direct measurement of body fat using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) is the gold standard; however, DEXA is generally not available or practical for most researchers. BMI is the most widely used method to classify excess adiposity, with values ≥30 kg/m² indicating obesity. However, BMI does not discriminate between fat and lean body mass; thus, individuals of short stature or muscular build may be misclassified. Indeed, accumulating evidence indicates that BMI may actually underestimate obesity prevalence. Similarly, obesity classification influences MHO prevalence, with estimates ranging from a third to half of obese individuals by BMI and DEXA, respectively. Furthermore, recent data suggest that combined assessment of BMI and BF% or other anthropometric measures to classify obesity may help identify individuals at greater cardiometabolic risk than BMI alone. Collectively, these data underscore the importance of accurate obesity diagnosis in the context of more precise classification and risk stratification.
MHO prevalence has been recently examined in a number of large-scale studies. Analysis of 10 cohort studies involving 163,517 individuals from seven European countries revealed significant diversity in MHO prevalence across Europe (7–28% in women and 2–19% in men). Generally, MHO, which was defined as obesity (by BMI) without any MetS component and no previous CVD diagnosis, was more prevalent among women and decreased with age in both genders. A worldwide meta-analysis of 31 studies reported overall prevalence of MHO of 7.27%, with the highest prevalence among American populations, although wide ranges were reported between individual studies (MHO prevalence was 1.3–22.9% in Americans, 2.1–23.9% in Europeans, and 2.8–25.8% in Asians). Despite study design and population differences, the observed variation in MHO prevalence reported both in the comparative studies and meta-analyses highlights the need for larger scale population-representative studies, improved obesity classification, and a global consensus on a standard MHO definition.

Characterization and determinants of MHO

Although the determinants of MHO and the molecular mechanisms underlying the MHO phenotype are not fully elucidated, accumulating evidence is improving our understanding of the biological factors that distinguish MHO on the one hand from obesity per se, and on the other hand from metabolically unhealthy obesity. Here, we summarize the recent literature regarding determinants of metabolic health status, with a focus on potential biological, environmental, and genetic factors involved in the pathogenesis of MHO.

Adiposity and body composition

It has been recognized that different body fat distribution patterns, most notably increased visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and ectopic fat deposition (intramuscular, hepatic, and epicardial) are related to different metabolic phenotypes and obesity-related cardiometabolic risks. Greater VAT is associated with impaired glucose tolerance, insulin resistance, increased secretion of very low density lipoprotein (VLDL), and increased intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG) content. Hepatic fat has been identified as a potential predictor of the MUO phenotype, type 2 diabetes, and subclinical atherosclerosis. It has been hypothesized that how the body channels surplus energy, arising from a combination of excessive caloric intake, reduced physical activity, and increased time spent in sedentary behavior, may determine an individual’s predisposition to MHO or MUO. The pathway to MUO may be characterized by dysfunctional adipose tissue (larger fat cells), increased immune cell infiltration, elevated proinflammatory status, and reduced capacity of subcutaneous adipose tissue to expand and leading to increased ectopic fat deposition, resulting in lipotoxicity, insulin resistance in peripheral tissues, and a range of metabolic derangements (Fig. 1). In MHO individuals, the excess calories are channeled into insulin-sensitive subcutaneous adipose tissue, which is capable of expansion; thus, visceral and ectopic adiposity are reduced, macrophage infiltration and raised proinflammatory state are attenuated, insulin sensitivity is preserved, and the individual is protected from development of the MetS.

It has been questioned whether increased VAT is just an innocent bystander acting as a marker of ectopic fat deposition or whether it is indeed the culprit. In a small study of 39 obesity-matched adolescents and adults, Linder et al. compared the impact of body fat distribution and ectopic fat, in particular liver fat, on insulin resistance, with a view to establishing whether previously identified relationships in adults between liver fat and insulin resistance hold true among adolescents. Despite having lower VAT, the overweight and obese adolescents were more insulin resistant than the gender- and BMI-matched adults. Notably, hepatic fat content, but not total body fat or VAT, was identified as an independent predictor of insulin resistance among both adolescents and adults. An elegant study by Fabbrini et al. examined the independent associations of VAT and IHTG with metabolic function. They demonstrated increased VLDL-TG secretion and impaired insulin action in adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, and liver of obese subjects with high IHTG but not among those with high VAT matched for IHTG. Furthermore, high IHTG was associated with altered expression and protein levels of CD36 (a protein involved in fatty acid metabolism) in adipose tissue and skeletal muscle, suggesting a role in ectopic fat accumulation. The authors concluded that IHTG, but not VAT, is a better indicator of metabolic functionality associated with obesity.
In later work, this group examined the impact of weight gain among obese individuals defined by IHTG and insulin sensitivity as MHO or MUO. Both groups were challenged with a high-fat diet to achieve ~6% weight gain. Despite similar fat mass increases, there were distinct differences in the response to weight gain between the groups. Insulin sensitivity in adipose tissue, liver, and skeletal muscle deteriorated, and blood pressure, plasma TG, VLDL apoB100 concentrations, and secretion rates increased among the MUO but not the MHO individuals, suggesting that MHO subjects are protected against the adverse effects of weight gain. Such protection may be derived from the increased biological pathways and genes associated with adipose tissue lipogenesis observed among the MHO but not the MUO individuals. From this evidence, it seems that body composition and fat distribution, in particular VAT and hepatic fat, are both important players in determining cardiometabolic health status.

**Diet and lifestyle factors**
Environmental factors, such as diet, physical activity, alcohol consumption, and smoking, play roles in the development of obesity. Excessive caloric intake coupled with low levels of physical activity and/or increased sedentary time give rise to a positive energy balance, leading to increased body fat accumulation. In the context of MHO, diet, including dietary composition, dietary patterns, and dietary quality, has been fairly widely studied. However, the evidence supporting the role of diet in MHO has been surprisingly inconsistent to date. Interestingly, similar total energy intake and
dietary macronutrient intakes have been reported in MHO and MUO individuals, leading researchers to examine dietary patterns, indices of dietary quality, and compliance with dietary recommendations. A recent cross-sectional study involving 2415 middle-aged Australian adults reported that, for every 1-SD increase in the healthy dietary pattern, the likelihood of having a more metabolically healthy profile increased by 16% (odds ratio (OR) 1.16, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.04–1.29). Using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data (2007–2008 and 2009–2010), Camhi et al. examined dietary quality assessed by the Healthy Eating Index 2005 (HEI-2005) scores among obese adolescents (n = 133) and adults (n = 1102). MHO was defined as obesity with two or fewer abnormal risk factors for fasting glucose, hypertension, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). HEI-2005 scores were higher among the MHO adolescents and women (aged 19–44) relative to their MUO counterparts, whereas no differences were noted among MHO and MUO men (aged 19–44 or 45–85). Examination of scores from specific food groups revealed that MHO adolescents had higher milk scores and scores from added sugars, solid fats, and alcoholic beverages. Among the 19- to 44-year-old women, higher scores for whole fruits, whole grain, meat, and beans were reported. These findings highlight the potential of dietary quality indices as intervention targets and the importance of such intervention starting earlier in life, as differences were only observed among adolescents and younger women. Park et al. investigated Mediterranean Diet Scores (MDS) among 1739 adult participants of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III (1988–1994), who were followed up for deaths until 2011. Metabolic health was defined using the Wildman definition. Consumption of red meat and dairy products was lower among the MHO individuals, who also had a higher ratio of monounsaturated to saturated fatty acids, which contributed to their higher MDS. Furthermore, adherence to a Mediterranean-style diet was associated with lower all-cause mortality among the MHO individuals (multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (HR) of 0.44, 95% CI 0.26–0.75, comparing the highest tertile to the first tertile of MDS), but not among the MUO subjects, perhaps suggesting that alternative strategies are required for MUO.

Given the range of MHO criteria available, we investigated, in a cross-sectional cohort of 2047 middle-aged men and women, to what extent differences between MHO and MUO and metabolically healthy and unhealthy nonobese subjects (defined using a variety of metabolic health definitions) may be explained by dietary composition, dietary quality, and food-pyramid compliance. In keeping with the previous findings, total calorie intake, dietary macronutrient composition, and dietary quality were generally similar between the MHO and MUO individuals across MHO definitions. However, better compliance with food-pyramid recommendations was positively associated with MHO (defined by insulin resistance and Wildman). Furthermore, some differences in the number of daily servings of fruit and vegetables, dairy, meats, fats, and high fat/sugar food and drinks were noted between the MHO and MUO subjects, depending on which MHO criteria used. Of note, there was generally no effect of physical activity, smoking, or alcohol intake observed between MHO and MUO individuals across the range of MH definitions examined. Collectively, these findings highlight the potential of dietary guidelines as intervention targets to improve cardiometabolic health status among obese individuals.

Diet and lifestyle interventions

Limited and inconsistent data exist regarding the impact of dietary and exercise interventions in MHO. Rondanelli et al. reported significant improvements to a range of metabolic measures, including homeostatic model assessment (HOMA), CRP, HDL-C, leptin, adiponectin, ghrelin, glucagon-like peptide-1, and fatty acid profiles among 103 MHO individuals following a 2-month prudent dietary intervention. Unfortunately, this study did not include MUO individuals. Kantartzis et al. examined 262 MHO and MUO individuals, defined by HOMA and BMI, following a 9-month lifestyle-intervention program. Visceral fat was reduced in both groups after the intervention; however, total body and liver fat was reduced only among the MUO subjects. These individuals also reported improvements in insulin sensitivity, although they remained resistant to insulin. In contrast, Ruiz et al. did not observe any differences in the magnitude of change in anthropometric measures between MHO (n = 25) and MUO (n = 53) women following
a 12-week energy-restricted dietary intervention. Janiszewski et al.\textsuperscript{26} reported reductions in body weight, total and visceral fat mass, and enhanced insulin sensitivity in both MHO ($n = 63$) and MUO ($n = 43$) subjects following a 3- to 6-month exercise- or diet-induced weight loss intervention, with greater improvements in insulin sensitivity among the MUO subjects. A 5–10% reduction in body weight is considered to be clinically significant, and as such can improve metabolic health among obese individuals. Liu et al.\textsuperscript{82} investigated the impact of a 5% lifestyle-based weight loss on the metabolic profiles of 392 MHO and MUO individuals. Among those who achieved target weight loss, improvements to most risk factors were observed regardless of metabolic health status, suggesting that a clinically significant weight loss is beneficial to all obese individuals.

**Cardiorespiratory fitness**

Higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) are independently associated with healthier metabolic profiles and reduced risk of incident CVD and CVD mortality.\textsuperscript{83–86} However, the roles of physical fitness and CRF have not been extensively investigated in the context of understanding the determinants of MHO status. Dalleck et al.\textsuperscript{87} examined MetS components in 332 adults before and after a supervised 14-week community-based exercise program designed to improve cardiometabolic risk factors. This short-term intervention, which improved CRF (assessed by conventional submaximal exercise test protocols for walking or cycle ergometry) and eliminated MetS features, positively transitioned MUO individuals to MHO status. The greatest results were observed among those engaging in higher volumes of exercise, suggesting community-based exercise as an effective model for primary prevention of cardiometabolic disease. Ortega et al.\textsuperscript{88} examined fitness (assessed by a maximal exercise test on a treadmill), body fat composition, and metabolic health status among 43,265 adults participating in the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study. Their findings suggest that the MHO phenotype, defined using BMI or BF%, is associated with better CRF in both men and women, and that, once CRF level has been accounted for, the MHO phenotype may be benign in terms of mortality and morbidity risk. These authors recently reviewed the current evidence regarding CRF and MHO from cross-sectional and longitudinal studies.\textsuperscript{89} They concluded that better CRF should be considered to be a characteristic of the MHO phenotype, signaled that caution should be taken with regard to whether CRF plays a role in the prognosis of the MHO individuals, and recommend further investigation of the role of CRF in MHO.

**Genetics**

Limited data exist with regard to genetic predisposition to MHO. As previously mentioned, the Beijing Children and Adolescents Metabolic Syndrome study explored the contribution of both genetic and environmental factors to the pathogenesis of MHO among 6- to 18-year-olds.\textsuperscript{30} Although a limited number of genetic variants (22 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)) were examined, both the KCNQ1 rs227892 and rs227897 SNPs were identified as independent predictors of MHO. Each additional C allele of either SNP was associated with reduced risk of being metabolically healthy on the basis of both cardiometabolic risk markers (23% lower risk) and insulin resistance (24% lower risk), with stronger associations identified when a composite genetic predisposition score was examined. Interestingly, this study provided the first evidence of gene–nutrient (soft drink consumption) and gene–environment (with walking to school) interactions predisposing to MHO. Clearly, much work remains to be performed in this area to uncover the influence of genetics, nutrigenetics, and epigenetics on MHO pathogenesis. Berezina et al.\textsuperscript{90} examined potential relationships between genetic variants of the adipocytokine genes (leptin, leptin receptor, and adiponectin) and metabolically healthy (without CVD) abdominal obesity among adults. Although genetic associations and gene–nutrient interactions have been previously described between variants of these genes and MetS,\textsuperscript{91,92} this study identified for the first time a more than twofold greater likelihood of MUO among the T allele carriers of the adiponectin T45T polymorphism relative to the G allele carriers. Genetic predisposition to weight- and metabolic health-related traits has been investigated longitudinally in almost 4000 adult and 1380 adolescent participants of the Norwegian HUNT2 (1995–1997) and HUNT3 (2006–2008) surveys.\textsuperscript{93} Examination of 27 SNPs previously associated with obesity, eating disorders, or metabolic risk revealed novel genetic associations.
between a number of genes involved in regulation of food intake and energy expenditure, eating behavior, food reward, and satiety with longitudinal changes in BMI/WC and development of adverse metabolic phenotypes. Such data highlight the importance not only of improving our current understanding of the genetics but also of the neurobiology of body weight regulation in the context of developing future strategies to combat obesity, and especially MUO.

**Stability of MHO across the life course**

MHO was initially regarded as a static condition, but, although some individuals can maintain their metabolic health status over time, it is becoming increasingly evident that MHO status is transient in nature. It has been suggested that the MHO phenotype starts in childhood and persists into adulthood. The Bogalusa Heart Study is unique in the context of examining MHO stability over time, in that the 1098 individuals participated in the study both as children (aged 5–17) and also as young adults (24–43), with an average follow-up of 24 years (range 14.1–28.6 years). Importantly, this study provides the opportunity to examine what happens to MHO status, as individuals age from childhood, through adolescence, and into adulthood. The results are intriguing. The MHO children display similar favorable cardiometabolic profiles when adults relative to their childhood MUO counterparts. On the other hand, the MUO children had the worst cardiometabolic profiles as adults. Although adult MHO status was maintained in only 13% of the MHO children, the MHO children were 2.7–9.3 times more likely to be MHO adults compared with children from the other metabolic health categories. Even though the MHO children displayed intermediate levels of insulin, glucose, and blood pressure as adults, suggesting intermediate risk of T2DM and hypertension, examination of carotid intima media thickness (CIMT), a marker of atherosclerosis, did not reveal increased CIMT in adulthood. As the cardiometabolic profiles of MHO adults have been shown to be more favorable than that of metabolically unhealthy normal-weight individuals and more comparable to those of their normal weight counterparts, a better understanding of what factors contribute to achieving and maintaining good metabolic health from childhood into adulthood is critical.

As previously mentioned, while some individuals can retain MHO status over time, a substantial proportion of individuals with MHO cannot and may become metabolically unhealthy. Indeed, it is possible for any individual to transition between metabolically healthy and unhealthy states regardless of their BMI. Such transitions may also contribute to the observed disparity in MHO prevalence, inverse association with age, and conflicting findings regarding cardiometabolic and mortality outcomes. Longitudinal investigations suggest that MUO is a progressive phenotype along which MHO is a dynamic intermediate stage. Data from some of the earlier studies indicate that MHO status is transient for about a third of individuals. Follow-up (5.5–10.3 years) of the North West Adelaide Health Study cohort of 4056 adults revealed that 33% of the MHO subjects became MUO over time, whereas for the remaining individuals persistent MHO status was associated with favorable cardiometabolic outcomes. In keeping with these findings, data from the Pizarra study indicate that 37% of MHO subjects were no longer metabolically healthy after a 6-year follow-up. More recent data suggest that the numbers of MHO individuals becoming unhealthy over time are actually greater. Longitudinal follow-up of the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study revealed that 43.3% of the metabolically healthy abdominally obese (MHAO) transitioned to MUO over a 10-year period. Data from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing indicated that 44.5% of the MHO individuals became MUO over the 8-year follow-up. Consistent with these findings, data from the San Antonio Heart Study suggest that almost half (47.6%) of MHO subjects at baseline transitioned to MUO over the follow-up period (median 7.8 years).

Characterization of the factors that distinguish those who progress to or maintain MHO from those who transition from MHO to MUO may uncover potential intervention targets. In the San Antonio Heart Study those who transitioned were older and had lower HDL cholesterol levels and increased adiposity compared with the individuals with persistent MHO. Interestingly none of the adiposity measures (BMI, WC, and weight gain) were significant predictors of this change. Moreover, lipid profiles emerged as the strongest determinants of metabolic health status likely to develop with weight gain. In addition to baseline lipid concentrations (triglycerides and HDL cholesterol), findings from the
Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study indicate that insulin resistance is a significant predictor of the change from MHO to MUO. Additional predictors have been identified in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Compared with those with persistent MHO, those who converted to MUO were more likely to have high blood pressure, display increased abdominal adiposity, and have elevated levels of CRP, glycated hemoglobin, and triglycerides. Collectively, these findings highlight the importance of healthy lipid and inflammatory profiles in achieving and maintaining optimal cardiometabolic health. Further characterization of persistent metabolic health status and longitudinal investigation of the sustainability and predictors of the MHO phenotype over the life course are warranted.

MHO and long-term health outcomes

The individual and joint contributions of metabolic health and BMI on long-term cardiometabolic health outcomes and mortality have yet to be fully elucidated, and further investigation is required. A large systematic review and meta-analysis of 2.88 million individuals confirmed significantly higher all-cause mortality with obesity when all grades were combined. However, examination of individual obesity grades revealed that grade 1 obesity (BMI 30 to <35 kg/m²) was not associated with higher mortality. These conflicting findings may be partly explained by the existence of different obesity-associated metabolic health phenotypes. Examination of trends in metabolic health in the Northern Sweden MONICA study from 1986 to 2009 demonstrated that more people were becoming overweight and obese, and a larger proportion of those individuals were metabolically healthy, which may reduce the impact of obesity as a CVD risk factor. Supporting this idea, a 20-year follow-up of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study recently reported intermediate risk for stroke, coronary heart disease, and survival probability in individuals with suboptimal health (with two or less cardiometabolic risk factors) between that of the healthy and unhealthy subgroups, with no effect of BMI, suggesting that metabolic health may be more important than BMI in the context of adverse cardiometabolic outcomes.

Findings from prospective studies tracking the development of CVD, T2DM, and mortality in MHO have been inconsistent. Thus, whether MHO represents true health among obese individuals is controversial and remains the subject of ongoing debate. Examination of all-cause and CVD mortality after 17.7-year follow-up of the Whitehall II cohort of 5269 adults aged 39–62 (prevalence of MHO 9–41% depending on the definition used) revealed that both the MHO and MUO subjects had increased mortality risk (HR ranged from 1.81 (95% CI 1.16–2.84) to 2.30 (95% CI 1.13–4.70) for MHO and from 1.57 (95% CI 1.08–2.28) to 2.05 (95% CI 1.44–2.92) for the MAO) relative to the metabolically healthy normal-weight subjects. Furthermore, increased risk of both incident CVD and T2DM was reported among MHO individuals relative to their healthy normal-weight counterparts. However, the MHO individuals were at a lower risk of T2DM but not CVD compared with the MUO subjects. Thus, MHO may not be as benign as initially thought, and results are largely dependent on what outcome is examined and what reference group is used. In the Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Adult Men (30-year follow-up of 1758 subjects), increased mortality risk was identified in obese subjects with and without the MetS (2.4- and 1.7-fold higher, respectively) relative to the normal-weight participants without the MetS. The NHANES III (8.7-year follow-up of 6011 subjects) reported similar increased mortality risk (approximately 2.8-fold) between obese participants with one or fewer MetS features and obese subjects with two or more MetS features relative to their metabolically healthy nonobese (MHO) counterparts. Furthermore, using WC rather than BMI to classify obesity, follow-up (average time of 13.4 years) of the EPIC-MORGEN cohort of 22,654 individuals aged 20–59 revealed higher mortality risk among MHAO subjects relative to their metabolically healthy non-abdominally obese counterparts (HR 1.99, 95% CI 1.62–2.43 NS). Similar HRs were obtained for the metabolically unhealthy, not abdominally obese subjects (HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.08–1.59), whereas higher HRs were identified for the metabolically unhealthy abdominally obese subjects (HR 1.99, 95% CI 1.62–2.43 NS). Moreover, the Study of Women’s Health across the Nation of 475 middle-aged women reported greater subclinical CVD burden among the metabolically healthy overweight/obese subjects relative to the MHNO women. Collectively, these findings suggest that...
obese subjects, whether metabolically healthy or not, and regardless of how MHO is defined, carry greater risk of CVD and mortality, and thus MHO may not be as healthy as originally considered.

Conversely, several studies have not reported higher risk of CVD and all-cause mortality among their MHO participants. A 7-year follow-up of 22,303 men and women (mean age 54.1 years) from the Health Survey for England and the Scottish Health Survey failed to demonstrate increased risk of CVD (HR 1.26, 95% CI 0.74–2.13) or all-cause mortality (HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.64–1.29) among MHO subjects (defined by NCEP ATP III, the Wildman definition, and BMI) relative to their MHNO counterparts. Of note, increased risk of all-cause mortality was observed among the MUO individuals (HR 1.72, 95% CI 1.23–2.41) compared with the MHO subjects. Similar results were obtained when WC was used to define obesity. Calori et al. 104 in a follow-up of 2011 middle-aged adults over 15 years, reported increased CVD, cancer, and all-cause mortality risk (HR 1.40, 95% CI 1.08–1.81) among the obese insulin-resistant individuals but not in the obese insulin-sensitive (MHO) subjects relative to their nonobese insulin-sensitive counterparts. In addition to higher prevalence of CVD, greater severity of angiographic coronary artery disease has also been reported, in a study of 856 Korean subjects among the MUO (defined by NCEP ATP III) or normal-weight subjects compared with the MHO or MHNO groups. 115 Examination of mortality risk in NHANES III (12- to 18-year follow-up of 4373 men and women) demonstrated that MHO individuals (defined according to HOMA, NCEP ATP III, and the Karelis definition) were not at increased risk of all-cause mortality compared with the MHNO individuals. 105 More recently, Guo et al. 102 investigated the relative impact of body weight and metabolic health on health outcomes using data from two large cohorts (the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study and the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study, with 18.7- and 20-year follow-up, respectively). They reported lower risks for T2DM, CVD, stroke, and mortality among the MHO individuals relative to the MUO subjects but increased diabetes risk compared with the MHNO subjects. Clearly, the data on long-term impact of MHO on cardiometabolic health and mortality risk are conflicting, which may be at least partly due to differences in study design, obesity classification, MHO definitions, and reference groups. Whether obesity or metabolic health is a more important predictor of future health and/or disease remains unclear, and further investigation of obesity-associated metabolic health phenotypes is warranted.

MHO: role in risk stratification and personalized treatment?

Obesity is a multifaceted public health problem; the sheer complexity of the interacting biological, environmental, and social determinants has been nicely illustrated by the UK Foresight obesity system map. However, it is becoming apparent that the situation is further complicated at a personal level by the existence of subtypes of obesity based on an individual’s metabolic health status. Despite an ever-increasing evidence base that has highlighted potential intervention points, including food production and consumption, physiology, individual physical activity, the physical activity environment, and both individual and social psychology, obesity prevalence continues to rise. This begs the question of whether more personalized strategies to combat obesity, on the basis of an individual’s metabolic health background, may offer new opportunities in obesity diagnosis, intervention, and treatment.

The existence, clinical utility, and limitations of the MHO phenotype have been widely questioned and debated. While the lack of a universally accepted MHO definition and the usefulness of BMI to accurately classify obesity are clearly pertinent issues, the concept that any form of obesity could be described as healthy is controversial. In a recent commentary, Rey-Lopez argued that “more efforts must be allocated to reducing the distal and actual causal agents that lead to weight gain, instead of the current disproportionate scientific interest in the biological processes that explain the heterogeneity of obesity.” However, perhaps the heterogeneity of obesity, in terms of an individuals’ phenotype and interindividual differences in responsiveness to dietary or lifestyle interventions, should not be ignored. Recent evidence indicates, despite similar overall dietary intake between metabolic health subtypes, that favorable lifestyle factors, including higher dietary quality, healthy diet pattern, greater compliance with food-pyramid recommendations, and being less sedentary and
more (moderately) physically active may all be positively associated with MHO. Interest-
ningly, examination of stable and unstable MHO suggests that a healthy lifestyle index may deter-
mine transition to MUO. Furthermore, a recent proteomics study identified dysregulated inflam-
matory and lipid processes as molecular hallmarks of MHO, confirming earlier findings that MHO individuals display more favorable lipoprotein and inflammatory profiles. Collectively, such investigations may identify new behavioral and bio-
logical targets that may aid the development of more effective evidence-based risk stratification, inter-
vention, and treatment strategies to reduce both obesity and its metabolic complications.

Supporting this concept, the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) in 2014 suggested a complication-centric approach to the management of weight loss, whereby more aggressive therapeutic approaches for those patients with obesity-related complications were advocated. More recently, the AACE and the American College of Endocrinology, motivated according to the Chair of the AACE Obesity Scientific Committee by “the lack of comprehensive and evidence-based guidelines to real-world clinical care of patients with obesity,” have developed new clinical practice guidelines that acknowledge the need for a more individualized-treatment approach to obesity. These evidence-based CPGs address a range of aspects of obesity care, including screening, diagnosis, clinical evaluation, treatment options, selection, and goals. A notable shift here is the additional target of improving metabolic health, rather than just weight loss per se. This development is timely, as it is evident that approaches focused on preventing and/or attenuating obesity and body weight have not achieved much success in halting the rising tide of obesity. While data on the impact of the new guidelines on the obesity epidemic will take some time to filter through, it seems likely that high-risk groups, such as the MUO individuals, who carry the great-
est risk of both adverse cardiometabolic and mental health outcomes, could really benefit from such risk stratification. However, if metabolic health is a more important driver of future health than obesity, it could be argued that improving metabolic health and attenuating development of cardiometabolic disease in intermediate-risk subgroups (with or without obesity), such as MHO and metabolically unhealthy nonobese individuals, may also be worthwhile.

Conclusions
It is clear that a great body of research on obesity-associated metabolic health phenotypes has been performed to date. However, much remains to be done. Despite the knowledge that different obe-
sity subtypes exist, the research community has been slow to refine obesity and metabolic health definitions. While advances in the development of new obesity treatment guidelines are encouraging, whether these will have the desired impact on reduc-
ing obesity and its complications remains to be seen. A better understanding of both the lifestyle deter-
minants of MHO and the molecular mechanisms that mediate the MHO phenotype is warranted. To advance the state of the art, future research will need to focus on these issues from a life course perspective, as well as conducting larger evidence-
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