## **University College Dublin**

## Guidelines for the Review of Collaborative and Transnational Taught Programmes

## **Guidance on drafting the Review Group Report**

The report of the Review Group should typically cover the following topics:

- a) Details/Dates of the visit; Review Group members, and outline the review process.
- b) Background: The Partners and any particular context/constraints within which the partner organisations operate. This should also include the name of the Partner, relevant School and a list of programmes.
- c) A short commentary on, for example:
  - context/vision/mission of the partner organisation
  - progress following any previous review
  - entry to the programme, recruitment strategy
  - English language qualification/standards
  - student numbers e.g. are enrolments falling, steady, increasing?
  - teaching and learning; curriculum (including programme specification and module descriptors)
  - assessment; student progression
  - resources and teaching space
  - quality assurance arrangements e.g. how well are policies and procedures followed? Are they fit for purpose?
  - staffing/staff development adequate? Opportunities for staff development?
  - publicity and marketing arrangements approval protocols?
  - student support systems
  - student comments/issues raised (if appropriate)
  - finance— is the arrangement viable? Is it likely to remain so?
- d) The overall strengths/examples of good practice, as well as weaknesses should be commented on and recommendations for improvement/further action identified.
- e) Memorandum of Agreement -— any issues? Is it to be reviewed? How long should it remain in force?
- f) Conclusion: a clear recommendation as to whether or not the arrangement should be renewed, for what period of time, and whether or not approval is subject to conditions.